List of proofs

Proof of Lemma 1. First, note that

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\|GX\|_{1}^{2}\right] = \mathbf{E}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \|g_{i}\|_{\infty} |\hat{g}_{i}^{\mathrm{H}}X|\right)^{2}\right],$$

where g_i is the *i*th column of G and $\hat{g}_i = g_i / ||g_i||_{\infty}$. Using the condition $||G||_{\infty,*} \leq 1$ and Jensen's inequality, we find that

$$\mathbf{E} \left[\|GX\|_{1}^{2} \right] \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|g_{i}\|_{\infty} \mathbf{E} \left[|\hat{g}_{i}^{\mathrm{H}}X|^{2} \right] \leq \|\|X\|\|^{2}.$$

The other inequality follows by noting that for any $f \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with $||f||_{\infty} \leq 1$, the matrix G with first row equal to f^{H} and all other rows zero satisfies the constraint $||G||_{\infty,*} \leq 1$. That (26) is the dual norm of the ∞ -norm follows from straightforward verification, or see [27, Proposition 7.2].

Proof of Lemma 2. The result holds in n = 1 dimensions. Suppose that the result holds in n - 1 dimensions. We will show that it must also therefore hold in n dimensions and conclude, by induction, that the result holds in any dimension.

Let \tilde{A} be the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ principle submatrix of an $n \times n$ matrix A. For any vector $f \in \mathbb{C}^n$ we can write

$$f^{\mathrm{H}}Af = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |f_{i}|^{2} A_{ii} + 2\Re \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \bar{f}_{i} A_{ij} f_{j} \right]$$
$$= \tilde{f}^{\mathrm{H}}\tilde{A}\tilde{f} + |f_{n}|^{2} A_{nn} + 2\Re \left[\bar{f}_{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} A_{nj} \tilde{f}_{j} \right],$$

where $\tilde{f} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ has entries equal to the first n-1 entries of f.

By the induction hypothesis, we can choose the first n-1 entries of f (i.e., \tilde{f}) so that the right-hand side of the last display is not less than

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} A_{ii} + |f_n|^2 A_{nn} + 2\Re \left[\bar{f}_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} A_{nj} \tilde{f}_j \right]$$

If, for this choice of \tilde{f} , $\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} A_{nj} \tilde{f}_j$ is nonzero, then choose f_n as

j

$$f_n = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} A_{nj} \tilde{f}_j}{\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} A_{nj} \tilde{f}_j\right|}$$

Otherwise set $f_n = 1$. With the resulting choice of f_n ,

$$|f_n|^2 A_{nn} + 2\Re \left[\bar{f}_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} A_{nj} \tilde{f}_j \right] \ge A_{nn}.$$

We have therefore shown that

$$\sup_{\|f\|_{\infty} \le 1} f^{\mathsf{H}} A f \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{ii}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Let V_t^m be generated by (11). Let $Y_t^m = \Phi_t^m(V_t^m)$ and notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}(V_t^m) &= \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{M}(Y_{t-1}^m)) \\ &\leq R + \alpha \, \mathcal{U}(V_{t-1}^m) + \alpha \left(\mathcal{U}(Y_{t-1}^m) - \mathcal{U}(V_{t-1}^m) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that \mathcal{U} is twice differentiable with bounded second derivative, this last expression is bounded above by

$$\mathcal{U}(V_t^m) \le R + \alpha \mathcal{U}(V_{t-1}^m) + \alpha \nabla \mathcal{U}(V_{t-1}^m) \left(Y_{t-1}^m - V_{t-1}^m\right) + \frac{\alpha \sigma}{2} \|G\left(Y_{t-1}^m - V_{t-1}^m\right)\|_1^2.$$

Taking the expectation and using (30) yields

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\mathcal{U}(V_t^m)\right] \le R + \alpha \,\mathbf{E}\left[\mathcal{U}(V_{t-1}^m)\right] + \frac{\alpha\sigma}{2} \mathbf{E}\left[\|G\left(Y_{t-1}^m - V_{t-1}^m\right)\|_1^2\right]$$

An application of Lemma 1 reveals that

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\|G\left(Y_{t-1}^{m}-V_{t-1}^{m}\right)\|_{1}^{2}\right] \leq \||Y_{t-1}^{m}-V_{t-1}^{m}\|\|^{2}.$$

As a consequence, noting (28), we arrive at the upper bound

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\mathcal{U}(V_t^m)\right] \le R + \alpha \, \mathbf{E}\left[\mathcal{U}(V_{t-1}^m)\right] + \frac{\alpha \gamma^2 \sigma}{2m} \mathbf{E}\left[\|V_{t-1}^m\|_1^2\right]$$
$$\le R + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{\beta \gamma^2 \sigma}{2m}\right) \mathbf{E}\left[\mathcal{U}(V_{t-1}^m)\right],$$

from which we can conclude that

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\|V_t^m\|_1^2\right] \le \beta \mathbf{E}\left[\mathcal{U}(V_t^m)\right] \le \beta R\left[\frac{1 - \alpha^t \left(1 + \frac{\beta\gamma^2\sigma}{2m}\right)^t}{1 - \alpha\left(1 + \frac{\beta\gamma^2\sigma}{2m}\right)}\right] + \beta \alpha^t \left(1 + \frac{\beta\gamma^2\sigma}{2m}\right)^t \mathcal{U}(V_0^m).$$

Proof of Theorem 2. We begin with a standard expansion of the scheme's error.

$$\||V_t^m - v_t\|| = \left\| \left\| V_t^m - \mathcal{M}_0^t(v_0) \right\| \right\|$$
$$= \left\| \left\| \sum_{r=0}^{t-1} \mathcal{M}_{r+1}^t(V_{r+1}^m) - \mathcal{M}_r^t(V_r^m) \right\| \right\|.$$
Now notice that if we define $Y_r^m = \Phi_r^m(V_r^m)$, then $V_{r+1}^m = \mathcal{M}(Y_r^m)$ and the last equation becomes

 $|||V_t^m - v_t||| = \left|\left|\left|\sum_{r=0}^{s-1} \mathcal{M}_r^t(Y_r) - \mathcal{M}_r^t(V_r^m)\right|\right|\right|.$ The right-hand side of the last equation is bounded above by

$$\left\| \left\| \sum_{r=0}^{t-1} \mathcal{M}_r^t(Y_r) - \mathbf{E}[\mathcal{M}_r^t(Y_r) \mid V_r^m] \right\| + \sum_{r=0}^{t-1} \left\| \left| \mathbf{E}[\mathcal{M}_r^t(Y_r) \mid V_r^m] - \mathcal{M}_r^t(V_r^m) \right| \right\|.$$

Considering the first term in the last display, note that, for any fixed $f \in \mathbb{C}^n$,

$$\mathbf{E} \Big[\big| f^{\mathrm{H}} \sum_{r=0}^{t-1} \big(\mathcal{M}_{r}^{t}(Y_{r}) - \mathbf{E} [\mathcal{M}_{r}^{t}(Y_{r}) \mid V_{r}^{m}] \big) \big|^{2} \Big] = \sum_{r=0}^{t-1} \mathbf{E} \Big[\big| f^{\mathrm{H}} \big(\mathcal{M}_{r}^{t}(Y_{r}) - \mathbf{E} [\mathcal{M}_{r}^{t}(Y_{r}) \mid V_{r}^{m}] \big) \big|^{2} \Big] \\ + 2 \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} \sum_{r=s+1}^{t-1} \Re \Big\{ \mathbf{E} \Big[\big(f^{\mathrm{H}} (\mathcal{M}_{r}^{t}(Y_{r}) - \mathbf{E} [\mathcal{M}_{r}^{t}(Y_{r}) \mid V_{r}^{m}]) \big) \times \big(\overline{f^{\mathrm{H}} (\mathcal{M}_{s}^{t}(Y_{s}) - \mathbf{E} [\mathcal{M}_{s}^{t}(Y_{s}) \mid V_{s}^{m}]) \big) \Big] \Big\}.$$

Letting \mathcal{F}_r denote the σ -algebra generated by $\{V_s^m\}_{s=0}^r$ and $\{Y_r^m\}_{s=0}^{r-1}$, for s < r we can write

$$\mathbf{E}\Big[\left(f^{\mathrm{H}}(\mathcal{M}_{r}^{t}(Y_{r})-\mathbf{E}[\mathcal{M}_{r}^{t}(Y_{r})\mid V_{r}^{m}])\right)\times\left(\overline{f^{\mathrm{H}}(\mathcal{M}_{s}^{t}(Y_{s})-\mathbf{E}[\mathcal{M}_{s}^{t}(Y_{s})\mid V_{s}^{m}])}\right)\Big] \\
=\mathbf{E}\Big[\mathbf{E}\Big[f^{\mathrm{H}}(\mathcal{M}_{r}^{t}(Y_{r})-\mathbf{E}[\mathcal{M}_{r}^{t}(Y_{r})\mid V_{r}^{m}])\mid \mathcal{F}_{r}\Big]\times\left(\overline{f^{\mathrm{H}}(\mathcal{M}_{s}^{t}(Y_{s})-\mathbf{E}[\mathcal{M}_{s}^{t}(Y_{s})\mid V_{s}^{m}]))}\right)\Big].$$

Because, conditioned on V_r^m , Y_r^m is independent of \mathcal{F}_r , the expression above vanishes exactly. Supremizing over the choice of f, we have shown that

$$\begin{split} \|V_{t}^{m} - v_{t}\| &\leq \left(\sum_{r=0}^{t-1} \||\mathcal{M}_{r}^{t}(Y_{r}) - \mathbf{E}[\mathcal{M}_{r}^{t}(Y_{r}) \mid V_{r}^{m}]\|^{2}\right)^{1/2} + \sum_{r=0}^{t-1} \||\mathbf{E}[\mathcal{M}_{r}^{t}(Y_{r}) \mid V_{r}^{m}] - \mathcal{M}_{r}^{t}(V_{r}^{m})\|\|. \\ \text{Expanding the term inside of the square root, we find that} \\ \||V_{t}^{m} - v_{t}\|| &\leq \left(\sum_{r=0}^{t-1} \left(\||\mathcal{M}_{r}^{t}(Y_{r}) - \mathcal{M}_{r}^{t}(V_{r}^{m})\|\| + \||\mathbf{E}[\mathcal{M}_{r}^{t}(Y_{r}) \mid V_{r}^{m}] - \mathcal{M}_{r}^{t}(V_{r}^{m})\|\|\right)^{2}\right)^{1/2} \\ &+ \sum_{r=0}^{t-1} \||\mathbf{E}[\mathcal{M}_{r}^{t}(Y_{r}) \mid V_{r}^{m}] - \mathcal{M}_{r}^{t}(V_{r}^{m})\|\| \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{r=0}^{t-1} \||\mathcal{M}_{r}^{t}(Y_{r}) - \mathcal{M}_{r}^{t}(V_{r}^{m})\|\|^{2}\right)^{1/2} + \left(\sum_{r=0}^{t-1} \||\mathbf{E}[\mathcal{M}_{r}^{t}(Y_{r}) \mid V_{r}^{m}] - \mathcal{M}_{r}^{t}(V_{r}^{m})\|\|^{2}\right)^{1/2} \\ &+ \sum_{r=0}^{t-1} \||\mathbf{E}[\mathcal{M}_{r}^{t}(Y_{r}) \mid V_{r}^{m}] - \mathcal{M}_{r}^{t}(V_{r}^{m})\|\|, \end{split}$$

where, in the second inequality, we have used the triangle inequality for the ℓ^2 -norm in \mathbb{R}^t . Noting that $\mathbf{E}\left[A(V_r^m)(Y_r - V_r^m) \mid V_r^m\right] = 0$ yields

$$\mathbf{E}[\mathcal{M}_{r}^{t}(Y_{r}) \mid V_{r}^{m}] - \mathcal{M}_{r}^{t}(V_{r}^{m}) = \mathbf{E}\left[\left(\mathcal{M}_{r}^{t} - A_{r}\right)(Y_{r}) \mid V_{r}^{m}\right] - \left(\mathcal{M}_{r}^{t} - A_{r}\right)(V_{r}^{m}).$$
As a consequence, applying our assumptions (31) and (32), we obtain the upper bound
$$\||V_{t}^{m} - v_{t}\|| \leq (L_{1} + L_{2}) \left(\sum_{r=0}^{t-1} \alpha^{2(t-r)} \||\Phi_{r}^{m}(V_{r}^{m}) - V_{r}^{m}\||^{2}\right)^{1/2} + L_{2} \sum_{r=0}^{t-1} \alpha^{t-r} \||\Phi_{r}^{m}(V_{r}^{m}) - V_{r}^{m}\||^{2}.$$
Bounding the error from the random compressions, we arrive at the error bound
$$\gamma(L_{1} + L_{2}) \left(\sum_{r=0}^{t-1} \beta(t-r) - \beta(t-r) - \beta(t-r) - \beta^{2}L_{2} \sum_{r=0}^{t-1} \beta(t-r) - \beta^{2}L_{2}$$

$$\|\|V_t^m - v_t\|\| \le \frac{\gamma(L_1 + L_2)}{\sqrt{m}} \left(\sum_{r=0}^{t-1} \alpha^{2(t-r)} \mathbf{E} \left[\|V_r^m\|_1^2 \right] \right)^{1/2} + \frac{\gamma^2 L_2}{m} \sum_{r=0}^{t-1} \alpha^{t-r} \mathbf{E} \left[\|V_r^m\|_1^2 \right]. \quad \Box$$

Proof of Corollary 1. We have already seen that when $\mathcal{M}(v) = Kv$ we can take $\alpha = ||K||_1$ in the statement of Theorem 2 to verify conditions (31) and (32). We have also commented above that when K is nonnegative, the quantities $\mathbf{E} \left[||V_r^m||_1^2 \right]$ can be bounded independently of n.

When $\mathcal{M}(v) = Kv/||Kv||_1$, bounding the size of the iterates is not an issue, but it becomes slightly more difficult to verify (31) and (32). That K is aperiodic and irreducible implies that the dominant left and right eigenvectors, v_L and v_R , of K are unique and have all positive entries. Because power iteration is invariant to scalar multiples of K we can assume that the dominant eigenvalue of K is 1. We will assume that v_L is normalized so that $||v_L||_{\infty} = 1$ and that v_R is normalized so that $v_L^{\mathrm{T}}v_R = 1$. Let D be the diagonal matrix with $D_{ii} = (v_L)_i$ (i.e., $D\mathbb{1} = v_L$). Our matrix K can be written $K = D^{-1}SD$ where S is an aperiodic, irreducible, column-stochastic matrix. Let

$$\widetilde{K} = K - v_R v_L^{\mathrm{T}} = D^{-1} SPD,$$

where we have defined the projection $P = I - Dv_R \mathbb{1}^T$. Note that $||P||_1 \leq 2$ and that PSP = SPso that for any positive integer r, $\tilde{K}^r = D^{-1}S^r PD$. Letting

$$C = \frac{1}{\min_j\{(v_L)_j\}} \ge 1$$

we find that, for any positive integer r,

$$\|\widetilde{K}^r\|_1 \le \|D^{-1}\|_1 \|D\|_1 \|S^r P\|_1 \le 2C \sup_{\substack{\|v\|_1=1\\\mathbb{1}^T v=0}} \|S^r v\|_1 \le 2C \alpha^r$$

where

$$\alpha = \sup_{\substack{\|v\|_1 = 1 \\ \mathbb{1}^T v = 0}} \|Sv\|_1$$

Aperiodicity and irreducibility of S implies that $\alpha < 1$. We also have that

$$\sup_{v_L^{\mathrm{T}}v=1} \|K^r v\|_1 \le C \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_{\substack{v_L^{\mathrm{T}}v=1\\v_j\ge 0}} \|K^r v\|_1 \ge 1$$

Now let u and v be any two non-negative vectors normalized so that $v_L^{\mathrm{T}}u = v_L^{\mathrm{T}}v = 1$ and, for $\theta \in [0,1]$, define $w_{\theta} = (1-\theta)u + \theta v$. Note that w_{θ} also has non-negative entries and that $v_L^{\mathrm{T}}w_{\theta} = 1$. For any fixed $f \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $||f||_{\infty} \leq 1$, define the function

$$\varphi_r(u,v;\theta) = \frac{f^{\mathrm{T}}K^r w_{\theta}}{\|K^r w_{\theta}\|_1} - \frac{f^{\mathrm{T}}K^r u}{\|K^r u\|_1}.$$

Our goal is to establish bounds on

$$\varphi_r(u,v;1) = \frac{f^{\mathrm{T}}K^r v}{\|K^r v\|_1} - \frac{f^{\mathrm{T}}K^r u}{\|K^r u\|_1}$$

To that end note that

$$\frac{d}{d\theta}\varphi_r(u,v;\theta) = \frac{f^{\mathrm{\scriptscriptstyle T}}K^r(v-u)}{\|K^r w_\theta\|_1} - \frac{(f^{\mathrm{\scriptscriptstyle T}}K^r w_\theta)(\mathbbm{1}^{\mathrm{\scriptscriptstyle T}}K^r(v-u))}{\|K^r w_\theta\|_1^2}$$

and

$$\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2}\varphi_r(u,v;\theta) = -2\frac{(f^{\mathrm{T}}K^r(v-u))(\mathbb{1}^{\mathrm{T}}K^r(v-u))}{\|K^r w_\theta\|_1^2} + 2\frac{(f^{\mathrm{T}}K^r w_\theta)(\mathbb{1}^{\mathrm{T}}K^r(v-u))^2}{\|K^r w_\theta\|_1^3}.$$

Observing that $K^r(v-u) = \tilde{K}^r(v-u)$, and applying our bounds we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_r(u,v;1) &| \le \max_{\theta} \left| \frac{d}{d\theta} \varphi_r(u,v;\theta) \right| \\ &\le |f^{\mathrm{T}} \tilde{K}^r(v-u)| + C |\mathbb{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \tilde{K}^r(v-u)| \\ &\le 4 C^2 \, \alpha^r \, \|G(v-u)\|_1 \end{aligned}$$
(52)

where $G \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is the matrix with first row equal to $f^{\mathrm{T}} \tilde{K}^r / \|2f^{\mathrm{T}} \tilde{K}^r\|_{\infty}$, second row equal to $\mathbb{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\tilde{K}^r/\|2\mathbb{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\tilde{K}^r\|_{\infty}$, and all other entries equal to 0.

Defining the matrix valued function

$$A_r(u) = \frac{1}{\|K^r u\|_1} \left[I - \frac{K^r u \mathbb{1}^T}{\|K^r u\|_1} \right] K^r$$
$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \varphi_r(u, v; 0) = f^T A_r(u)(v - u)$$

we observe that

$$\frac{d}{d\theta}\varphi_r(u,v;0) = f^{\mathrm{T}}A_r(u)(v-u)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi_{r}(u,v;1) - f^{\mathrm{T}}A_{r}(u)(v-u)| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \max_{\theta} \left| \frac{d^{2}}{d\theta^{2}} \varphi_{r}(u,v;\theta) \right| \\ &\leq |f^{\mathrm{T}}\tilde{K}^{r}(v-u)| |\mathbb{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\tilde{K}^{r}(v-u)| + C |\mathbb{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\tilde{K}^{r}(v-u)|^{2} \\ &\leq 16 C^{3} \alpha^{2r} \|G(v-u)\|_{1}^{2} \end{aligned}$$
(53)

Expressions (52) and (53) verify the stability conditions in the statement of Theorem 2 with L_1 and L_2 dependent only on C yielding the first term on the right-hand side of (33). The second term follows similarly when one observes that (31) implies

$$\sup_{v,\tilde{v}\in\mathcal{X}}\frac{\|\mathcal{M}_{s}^{r}(v)-\mathcal{M}_{s}^{r}(\tilde{v})\|_{1}}{\|v-\tilde{v}\|_{1}} \leq L_{1}\alpha^{r-s}.$$

Proof of Lemma 3. If
$$Y_t^m = \Phi_t^m(V_t^m)$$
, then

$$\mathbf{E} \left[|f^{\mathrm{H}} \Phi_t^m(V_t^m) - f^{\mathrm{H}} V_t^m|^2 |Y_{t-1}^m] = \mathbf{E} \left[|f^{\mathrm{H}} \Phi_t^m(Y_{t-1}^m + \varepsilon b(Y_{t-1}^m)) - f^{\mathrm{H}}(Y_{t-1}^m + \varepsilon b(Y_{t-1}^m))|^2 |Y_{t-1}^m] \right]$$

$$\leq \gamma_p \frac{\varepsilon}{m} \| b(Y_{t-1}^m) \|_1 \|V_t^m\|_1$$
for some constant C . Our assumed bound on the growth of h along with (20) implies that

for some constant C. Our assumed bound on the growth of b along with (29) implies that $\mathbf{E}\left[\|b(Y_{t-1}^m)\|_1^2\right] \le C' \left(1 + \mathbf{E}\left[\|V_{t-1}^m\|_1^2\right]\right)$

for some constant C'. From these bounds it follows that for some constant $\tilde{\gamma}$,

$$\|\|\Phi_t^m(V_t^m) - V_t^m\|\|^2 \le \tilde{\gamma}^2 \frac{\varepsilon}{m} \sqrt{\mathbf{E}\left[\|V_t^m\|_1^2\right]} \sqrt{1 + \mathbf{E}\left[\|V_{t-1}^m\|_1^2\right]}.$$

Proof of Theorem 5. By exactly the same arguments used in the proof of Theorem 2 we arrive at the bound

$$|||V_t^m - v_t||| \le (L_1 + L_2) \left(\sum_{r=0}^{t-1} e^{-2\beta(t-r)\varepsilon} |||\Phi_r^m(V_r^m) - V_r^m|||^2 \right)^{1/2} + L_2 \sum_{r=0}^{t-1} e^{-\beta(t-r)\varepsilon} |||\Phi_r^m(V_r^m) - V_r^m|||^2.$$

Bounding the error from the random compressions, we arrive at the error bound

$$\begin{split} \|\|V_t^m - v_t\| &\leq \frac{\tilde{\gamma}(L_1 + L_2)}{\sqrt{m}} \left(e^{-2\beta t\varepsilon} \mathbf{E}\left[\|V_0^m\|_1^2 \right] + \varepsilon \sum_{r=1}^{t-1} e^{-2\beta(t-r)\varepsilon} \sqrt{\mathbf{E}\left[\|V_r^m\|_1^2 \right]} \sqrt{1 + \mathbf{E}\left[\|V_{r-1}^m\|_1^2 \right]} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ \frac{\tilde{\gamma}^2 L_2}{m} \sum_{r=1}^{t-1} e^{-\beta(t-r)\varepsilon} \sqrt{\mathbf{E}\left[\|V_r^m\|_1^2 \right]} \sqrt{1 + \mathbf{E}\left[\|V_{r-1}^m\|_1^2 \right]}. \quad \Box \end{split}$$

Proof of Theorem 6. By an argument very similar to that in the proof of Theorem 2, we arrive at the bound

$$|||V_t^m - v_t||| \le \left(\sum_{r=0}^{t-1} |||\mathcal{M}_{r+1}^t(V_r^m + \varepsilon b(Y_r^m)) - \mathcal{M}_{r+1}^t(V_r^m + \varepsilon b(V_r^m))|||^2\right)^{1/2} \\ + \left(\sum_{r=0}^{t-1} |||\mathbf{E}\left[\mathcal{M}_{r+1}^t(V_r^m + \varepsilon b(Y_r^m)) \mid V_r^m\right] - \mathcal{M}_r^t(V_r^m)|||^2\right)^{1/2} \\ + \sum_{r=0}^{t-1} |||\mathbf{E}\left[\mathcal{M}_{r+1}^t(V_r^m + \varepsilon b(Y_r^m)) \mid V_r^m\right] - \mathcal{M}_{r+1}^t(V_r^m + \varepsilon b(V_r^m))|||,$$

which, also as in that proof, is bounded above by

$$|||V_t^m - v_t||| \le (L_1 + L_2) \left(\varepsilon^2 \sum_{r=0}^{t-1} \alpha^{2(t-r-1)} |||b(Y_r^m) - b(V_r^m)|||^2\right)^{1/2} + L_2 \varepsilon^2 \sum_{r=0}^{t-1} \alpha^{t-r} |||b(Y_r^m) - b(V_r^m)|||^2.$$

From (37) and Lemma 1 we find that

$$|||b(Y_r^m) - b(V_r^m)||| \le L_1 |||Y_r^m - V_r^m|||.$$

The rest of the argument proceeds exactly as in the proof of Theorem 2.

Proof of Lemma 4. Observe that if $\tau_v^m > 0$, then condition

$$\sum_{j=\ell+1}^{n} |v_{\sigma_j}| \le \frac{m-\ell}{m} \|v\|_1$$

holds for $\ell = 0$. Assume that

$$\sum_{j=\ell}^{n} |v_{\sigma_j}| \le \frac{m-\ell+1}{m} \|v\|_1$$

for some $\ell \leq \tau_v^m$. From the definition of τ_v^m and the fact that $\ell \leq \tau_v^m$, we must also have that

$$\frac{1}{m-\ell} \sum_{j=\ell+1}^{n} |v_{\sigma_j}| < |v_{\sigma_{\ell+1}}|.$$

Combining the last two inequalities yields

$$\sum_{j=\ell+1}^{n} |v_{\sigma_j}| \le \frac{m-\ell}{m} \|v\|_1.$$

Proof of Lemma 5. First we assume that, for all j, $|v_j + w_j| \le ||v + w||/m$. We will remove this assumption later. With this assumption in place, $N_j \in \{0, 1\}$ and the **while** loop in Algorithm 1 is inactive so that

$$f^{\mathrm{H}}\Phi_{t}(v+w) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \bar{f}_{j} \frac{v_{j}+w_{j}}{|v_{j}+w_{j}|} \frac{\|v+w\|}{m} N_{j},$$
$$\mathbf{E}\left[|f^{\mathrm{H}}\Phi_{t}(v+w) - f^{\mathrm{H}}(v+w)|^{2}\right] = \frac{\|v+w\|_{1}^{2}}{m^{2}} \mathbf{E}\left[\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \bar{f}_{j} \frac{v_{j}+w_{j}}{|v_{j}+w_{j}|} \left(N_{j} - \frac{m|v_{j}-w_{j}|}{\|v+w\|_{1}}\right)\right|^{2}\right].$$

The random variables in the sum are independent, so the last expression becomes

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E} \Big[|f^{\mathrm{H}} \Phi_t(v+w) - f^{\mathrm{H}}(v+w)|^2 \Big] &= \frac{\|v+w\|_1^2}{m^2} \sum_{j=1}^n |f_j|^2 \mathbf{E} \Big[\left| N_j - \frac{m|v_j - w_j|}{\|v+w\|_1} \right|^2 \Big] \\ &\leq \frac{\|v+w\|_1^2}{m^2} \sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{var}\left[N_j \right]. \end{split}$$

Since $N_j \in \{0, 1\}$, the expression for the variance of N_j becomes

$$\mathbf{var}[N_j] = \mathbf{E}[N_j] \left(1 - \mathbf{E}[N_j]\right) = \frac{m|v_j + w_j|}{\|v + w\|_1} \left(1 - \frac{m|v_j + w_j|}{\|v + w\|_1}\right),$$

so that

$$\mathbf{E}\left[|f^{\mathsf{H}}\Phi_{t}(v+w) - f^{\mathsf{H}}(v+w)|^{2}\right] \leq \frac{\|v+w\|_{1}^{2}}{m^{2}} \left[m - \left(\frac{m}{\|v+w\|_{1}}\right)^{2} \|v+w\|_{2}^{2}\right].$$

Because this scheme does not depend on the ordering of the entries of v + w we can assume that the entries have been ordered so that $v_j = 0$ for j > m. In this case we can write

$$\|v+w\|_{2}^{2} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} |v_{j}+w_{j}|^{2} + \sum_{j=m+1}^{n} |w_{j}|^{2} \ge \frac{1}{m} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} |v_{j}+w_{j}| \right)^{2},$$

which then implies that

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}\left[|f^{\mathsf{H}}\Phi_{t}(v+w) - f^{\mathsf{H}}(v+w)|^{2}\right] &\leq \frac{\|v+w\|_{1}^{2}}{m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\|v+w\|_{1}^{2}} \left(\|v+w\|_{1} - \sum_{j=m+1}^{n} |w_{j}|\right)^{2}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{2\|w\|_{1}\|v+w\|_{1}}{m}. \end{split}$$

We now remove the assumption that $|v_j + w_j| \le ||v + w||/m$. Let σ be a permutation of the indices of v + w resulting in a vector $v_{\sigma} + w_{\sigma}$ with entries of nonincreasing magnitude. Since Algorithm 1 preserves the largest τ_{v+w}^m entries of v + w and the remaining entries, $v_{\sigma_j} + w_{\sigma_j}$ for $j > \tau_{v+w}^m$, satisfy

$$|v_{\sigma_j} + w_{\sigma_j}| \le \frac{1}{m - \tau_{v+w}^m} \sum_{k=\tau_{v+w}^m}^n |v_{\sigma_k} + w_{\sigma_k}|$$

we can apply the sampling error bound just proved to find that

$$|||\Phi_t(v+w) - v - w||| \le \sqrt{2} \frac{\left(\sum_{j=\tau_{v+w}^m+1}^n |w_j|\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{j=\tau_{v+w}^m+1}^n |v_j+w_j|\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{m-\tau_{v+w}^m}}.$$

An application of Lemma 4 then yields (43).

In bounding the size of $\Phi_t^m(v+w)$ we will again assume that $\tau_{v+w}^m = 0$ and that the entries have been ordered so that $v_j = 0$ for j > m. The size of the resampled vector can be bounded by first noting that, since the N_j are independent and are in $\{0, 1\}$,

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}\Big[\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{n}N_{j}\Big)^{2}\Big] &= \sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{m|v_{j}+w_{j}|}{\|v+w\|_{1}} + 2\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=i+1}^{n}\frac{m|v_{i}+w_{i}|}{\|v+w\|_{1}}\frac{m|v_{j}+w_{j}|}{\|v+w\|_{1}} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{m|v_{j}+w_{j}|}{\|v+w\|_{1}}\right)^{2} + 2\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=i+1}^{n}\frac{m|v_{i}+w_{i}|}{\|v+w\|_{1}}\frac{m|v_{j}+w_{j}|}{\|v+w\|_{1}} \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{m|v_{j}+w_{j}|}{\|v+w\|_{1}} - \left(\frac{m|v_{j}+w_{j}|}{\|v+w\|_{1}}\right)^{2} \\ &= m^{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{m|v_{j}+w_{j}|}{\|v+w\|_{1}} - \left(\frac{m|v_{j}+w_{j}|}{\|v+w\|_{1}}\right)^{2}. \end{split}$$

Breaking up the last sum in this expression, we find that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{m|v_j + w_j|}{\|v + w\|_1} - \left(\frac{m|v_j + w_j|}{\|v + w\|_1}\right)^2 \le m \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{|v_j + w_j|}{\|v + w\|_1} - m \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{|v_j + w_j|}{\|v + w\|_1}\right)^2 \le m \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{|v_j + w_j|}{\|v + w\|_1}\right) \le \frac{m\|w\|_1}{\|v + w\|_1}$$

and that

$$\sum_{j=m+1}^{n} \frac{m|w_j|}{\|v+w\|_1} - \left(\frac{m|w_j|}{\|v+w\|_1}\right)^2 \le \frac{m\|w\|_1}{\|v+w\|_1}$$

so that

$$\mathbf{E}\Big[\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{n} N_j\Big)^2\Big] \le m^2 + 2\frac{m\|w\|_1}{\|v+w\|_1}.$$

It follows then that (at least when $\tau^m_{v+w} = 0$)

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\|\Phi_t^m(v+w)\|_1^2\right] \le \|v+w\|_1 + 2\frac{\|v+w\|_1\|w\|_1}{m}$$

Writing the corresponding formula for $\tau_{v+w}^m > 0$ and applying Lemma 4 gives the bound in the statement of the lemma.

Finally we consider the probability of the event $\{\Phi_t^m(v+w)=0\}$. If $\tau_{v+w}^m=0$, then $N_j \in \{0,1\}$, so that $\mathbf{P}[N_j=0] = 1 - m|v_j + w_j|/||v+w||_1$, and, since the N_j are independent,

$$\mathbf{P}[N_j = 0 \text{ for all } j] = \prod_{j=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{m|v_j + w_j|}{\|v + w\|_1} \right) \le \prod_{j \le n, \ v_j \ne 0} \left(1 - \frac{m|v_j + w_j|}{\|v + w\|_1} \right).$$

The first product in the last display is easily seen to be bounded above by e^{-m} . The second product is maximized subject to the constraint

$$\sum_{j \le n, v_j \ne 0} \left(1 - \frac{m|v_j + w_j|}{\|v + w\|_1} \right) \le \frac{m\|w\|_1}{\|v + w\|_1}$$

when the terms in the product are all equal, in which case we get

$$\mathbf{P}\left[N_j = 0 \text{ for all } j\right] \le \left(\frac{\|w\|_1}{\|v+w\|_1}\right)^m.$$